UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/712,118	11/13/2003	Toshiyuki Takai	671302-2002	8301	
20999 7590 08/06/2007 FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			EXAMINER		
	ENUE- 10TH FL.		. HAMA, JOANNE		
NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1632		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/712,118	TAKAI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	_	
Joanne Hama, Ph.D.	1632		

	Joanne Hama, Ph.D.	1632	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		20(-) (b	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action: or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comm	diana with 27 CED 44 27 must be	filed with in A	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will make bur ambana deb	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	•		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		·	•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	[_] will not be entered, or b) ⊠ wil vided below or appended.	I be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-5,19 and 20</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	it or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see attached. 	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	ice because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	•	•	
			·

Application/Control Number: 10/712,118

Art Unit: 1632

Page 2

Applicant filed a response to the Final Action of April 23, 2007 on July 23, 2007. Claims 2, 6-18 are cancelled. Claims 1, 3-5 are amended.

Claims 1, 3-5, 19, 20 are under consideration.

Request for Reconsideration/Other

11.

Maintained Rejection

35 U.S.C. § 112, 1st parag., Enablement

Applicant's arguments filed July 23, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant indicates that the claims have been amended and that claim 1 has been amended, relating to a transgenic mouse model "showing hypomyelinosis of the thalamus that can be a cause of Nasu-Hakola disease, and showing a neuropsychiatric disorder caused by the hypomyelinosis." Similarly, claims 3-5 have been amended such that the disorders can be a cause of Nasu-Hakola disease. Applicant indicates that it is more technically accurate to indicate that the neuropsychiatric disorders are shown by hypomyelinosis which can be a cause of Nasu-Hakola disease, rather than indicate that the neuropsychiatric disorders are shown by Nasu-Hakola disease, per se (Applicant's emphasis, Applicant's response, page 5, 2nd and 3rd parags.). In response, this is not persuasive. The amended claims can be read such that the hypomyelinosis could be a cause of Nasu-Hakola disease, but it could be a cause of various other neurological diseases. However, nothing in the art or specification provided any guidance that hypomyelinosis was a model of other diseases which results in the various disorders described in claim 4, for example.

Thus, the claims remain rejected.

Art Unit: 1632

No claims allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joanne Hama, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-2911. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday and alternate Fridays from 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Paras, can be reached on 571-272-4517. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also

Application/Control Number: 10/712,118

Art Unit: 1632

Page 4

enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public. For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

Joanne Hama Art Unit 1632

/Anne Marie S. Wehbé/ Primary Examiner, A.U. 1633